

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 904,224	07-12/2001	Sukun Zhang	CHR 00-63	9688	
7:	590 04 18 2003				
Daniel B.Reece IV Westvaco Corporation 5255 Virginia Avenue Post Office Box 118005 Charleston, SC 29423-8005			EXAMINER		
			ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	Li	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/904,224

Zhang et al

Examiner

Office Action Summary

		Olga Asinovsky	1711		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addr	ess	
Period	for Reply				
THE I - Extens mailing	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In grate of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the second	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTH	HS from the	
- ⊦ailure - Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	S.C. § 133).	unication.	
Status					
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19, 2	2003		·	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This ac	tion is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Fx pa			e merits is	
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) X	Claim(s) 1-18	is/are	pending in the	e application.	
۷	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fr	om consideration.	
5)	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) X	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>		is/are objected to.		
8)	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) accepted or b) objecte	d to by the Ex	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)	All b) Some* c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			•	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National S	Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e)		
		, p o c, and o. o. o. o. o. o.	5 ,.		

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Urawing Review (P10.94%) Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO 152 3 \times Information Disclosure Statement's PTO-1449 Paper No.s. 2&3

Application/Control Number: 09/904.224 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al U.S.Patent 4,894,397 in view of Mylonakis et al U.S.Patent 4,371,669.

The present invention is an aqueous polymer composition produced by reacting in an emulsion polymerization of (a) a water-dispersible polymer having an average molecular weight in the range of about 2,000 to about 12,000, (b) a fatty acid, (c) vinyl monomer(s), (f) a polymerizable initiator, and (g) water, wherein the components are present in the specified amount in claim 1, and an aqueous polymer composition can include (d) a chain transfer agent and (e) a surfactant, there is no low limitation of the components (d) and (e).

Application/Control Number: 09/904.224 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

of the resulting first and/or second stage polymers, free radical initiator and cross-linking agent, column 4, lines 20-36. Styrene and acrylic acid polymer can be produced in the first stage, column 6, lines 1-9. Styrene and acrylic acid polymer having a number average molecular weight from about 3,000 to 10,000, column 6, line 34, is readable as component (a) in applicants' claim 1. The monomers and their relative ratios are selected so that the resulting polymer will not be water soluble upon pH adjustment, column 4, lines 44-47 and column 7, lines 53-54. Therefore, the polymer produced in the first stage is a water-dispersible polymer. The second stage emulsion polymer is formed from vinyl group containing monomers, column 8, lines 32-53, which are readable in applicants' component (b) in the present claim 1. An initiator, a chain transfer agent and an anionic surfactant are readable in the present claim 1, column 8, lines 61-68 and column 7, lines 42-43. The composition can be used for producing films, polishes, varnishes, paints, inks and adhesives, column 10, lines 8-11, for the present claims 13-18.

The difference between the present claims and Morgan is the requirement in the present claims of a fatty acid. Morgan does not disclose a fatty acid. However, Morgan discloses a nonvolatile wax such as polyethylene waxes for the formulation a high gloss floor polish composition, column 13, line 25, wherein a water resistance property would be expected.

Mylonakis discloses a carboxyl functional copolymer prepared by an emulsion

Art Unit: 1711

column 3, lines 46-47, column 4, line 45. The composition can include tall oil fatty acids, column 7, line 65.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the composition in Morgan by replacing a polyethylene wax with a tall oil fatty acid in Mylonakis' invention because of their similar property as to improve water resistance. The motivation is that it is within the skill of one in the art to use a tall-oil fatty acid in Mylonakis for the composition in Morgan's invention because of the ability to improve the resistance of the film to attack by water. Mylonakis column 7, line 54. Therefore, a polyethylene wax and a tall-oil fatty acid would perform equally well within the same expectation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the water-dispersible <u>polymer</u> is a member selected from the group consisting of acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, fumaric acid, maleic anhydride, and combination thereof". All recited monomers produce <u>water-soluble polymer(s)</u>. Therefore, a

Application/Control Number: 09/904.224 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

19-21.

at page 13 a water-dispersible polymer is a styrene acrylic resin. Example 2 at page 14 discloses an alkali-soluble polymer. The definition for "water-dispersible polymer" is given at page 9, lines

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art is relevant to show the state of the art knowledge.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-0041. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 and (703) 872-9311 after final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Supervio

O.A.

سسا

April 12, 2003